

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>92</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>90.95</div> %	<div>96.14</div> %	<div>76</div>	<div>96.45</div> %	<div>12</div>	<div>11</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>85.93</div> %	<div>88.33</div> %	<div>48</div>	<div>87.93</div> %	<div>31</div>	<div>30</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>2.79</div>	<div>5.75</div>	<div>58</div>	<div>4.73</div>	<div>40</div>	<div>34</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>89.37</div> %	<div>77.35</div> %	<div>36</div>	<div>83.38</div> %	<div>27</div>	<div>32</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>25.72</div> %	<div>70.06</div> %	<div>81</div>	<div>70.15</div> %	<div>6</div>	<div>5</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>22.22</div> %	<div>78.42</div> %	<div>89</div>	<div>80.67</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>1</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>16.67</div> %	<div>39.86</div> %	<div>77</div>	<div>45.30</div> %	<div>11</div>	<div>8</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>94.44</div> %	<div>92.91</div> %	<div>60</div>	<div>95.32</div> %	<div>29</div>	<div>27</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>78</div> / <div>92</div>	Nationally: <div>12</div>	<div>8</div>		
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$92,296</div>	<div>\$34,639</div>		<div>\$26,635</div>	<div>36</div> Units	<div>30.30</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$162,716</div>	<div>\$20,710</div>		<div>\$14,938</div>	<div>21</div> Units	<div>17.60</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$47,200</div>	<div>\$27,349</div>		<div>\$20,675</div>	<div>13</div> Units	<div>10.90</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$7,718</div>	<div>\$2,736</div>		<div>\$3,216</div>	<div>49</div> Units	<div>41.20</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Huntington Park CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$131,630	\$153,832	\$41,789
State:*	\$134,233	\$113,853	\$28,349
National:**	\$95,185	\$74,993	\$23,434

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.06

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	Single/Non-Elderly:	5.9	15.0	23.1	0.0
Black/African American:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Elderly:	61.8	0.0	23.1	100.0
Asian:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	8.8	0.0	7.7	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	23.5	70.0	38.5	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	0.0	15.0	7.7	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	88.2	100.0	100.0	100.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	64.7	0.0	23.1	100.0	Section 8:	23.5	0.0 [#]		
2 Persons:	5.9	5.0	0.0	0.0	HOME TBRA:	44.1			
3 Persons:	0.0	20.0	15.4	0.0	Other:	0.0			
4 Persons:	14.7	35.0	23.1	0.0	No Assistance:	32.4			
5 Persons:	5.9	25.0	15.4	0.0					
6 Persons:	5.9	10.0	15.4	0.0					
7 Persons:	2.9	5.0	0.0	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0					
					# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001				0

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Huntington Park State: CA Group Rank: 12
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 78 / 92 PJs Overall Rank: 8
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 2 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 76.20%	89.37	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.90%	25.72	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	22.22	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.88%	94.44	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.550	2.15	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

